

**SIX COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT
PROJECT RANKING CRITERIA
FY 2007**

APPLICANT _____ **TOTAL POINTS AWARDED** _____

| <u>Criteria</u> | <u>Range</u> | <u>Awarded</u> |
|---|--------------|----------------|
| 1. National Objective (select one): | 7-10 | _____ |
| a. Health & Safety: Serious health & safety threats as defined by HUD | (10) | |
| b. Prevention/elimination of slum/blight (Eligibility of this national objective must be approved by the State before Rating and Ranking occurs) | (07) | |
| c. Low/Mod Families: 51% of families in jurisdiction have income less than 80% of county or state median income. (If survey is necessary, it must be completed & attached to pre-application for national objective compliance) | (10) | |
| 2. Ability of applicant to complete application and administer the project. Information on prior performance furnished by the State Office will also be used for consideration of points | 0-5 | _____ |
| a. Good | (5) | |
| b. Fair | (2) | |
| c. Poor | (0) | |
| 3. Job Creation | Max. 10 | _____ |
| a. Permanent new low/mod jobs created (2 points per job) | | |
| b. Jobs retained (2 point per job) | | |
| 4. Direct improvement of existing housing stock (1 point per unit benefited), i.e | Max. 10 | _____ |

renovation, sewer or water system, curb/
gutter/sidewalks, etc.

- | | | |
|--|------|-------|
| 5. Applicant has completed and adopted an Affordable Housing Plan | 0-1 | _____ |
| 6. Economic Development-providing a favorable climate to maintain existing businesses, & sustaining/creating jobs for LMI persons: | 0-4 | _____ |
| a. Improve local capacity for economic development through comprehensive planning and leadership development (Technical committee, CEDS, PTAC, and community development training) | (4) | |
| b. Improve local tax base through expansion of business opportunities (industrial parks, infrastructure, etc) | (3) | |
| c. Improve appearance and quality of life within communities (downtown redevelopment) | (2) | |
| 7. Extent of Poverty (Percent of persons to benefit who meet HUD low income criteria) | 4-10 | _____ |
| a. 51-63% | (4) | |
| b. 64-75% | (6) | |
| c. 76-88% | (8) | |
| d. 89-100% | (10) | |
| 8. Financial Commitment to Community Development (Tax rate as % of maximum allowed) | 2-5 | _____ |
| a. 20-50% | (2) | |
| b. 51-74% | (3) | |
| c. 75-89% | (4) | |
| d. 90% | (5) | |

- | | | |
|---|------|-------|
| 9. Matching Contributions (% of project cost-including local match) | 0-6 | _____ |
| a. 0-9% (0) | | |
| b. 10-24% (2) | | |
| c. 25-49% (4) | | |
| d. 50-75% (6) | | |
| 10. Prior year funding consideration | -5-0 | _____ |
| a. Funded last year (-5) | | |
| b. Funded two years ago (-2) | | |
| c. Funded three or more years ago or never (0) | | |
| 11. Critical Timing | 0-10 | _____ |
| a. Immediate health and safety threat and/or loss of funding at 50%-100% (10) | | |
| b. Imminent but not serious threat and/or loss of funding at 30%-50% (5) | | |
| c. Other hardships, i.e., loss of opportunity (3) | | |
| (Attach explanation on separate sheet) | | |
| 12. Other Sources | 0-5 | _____ |
| (Applied to other sources, i.e., CIB, Div. of Water Resources, Div. of Env. Health, State Outdoor Recreation Div., FmHA. Proof of rejection or acceptance from agency is required). | | |
| a. Single Contact (1) | | |
| b. Two Contacts (2) | | |
| c. Three Contacts (3) | | |
| d. Four Contacts (4) | | |
| e. Five or more Contacts (5) | | |